



## OSHA Citations and Penalties Bulletin – No. 46

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) is authorized to issue citations and penalties against an employer when workplace health and safety standards have been violated. Citations are classified as *De Minimus*, *Other-Than-Serious*, *Serious*, *Willful*, *Repeat*, or *Failure to Abate*. Penalties are proposed based on a variety of factors including site conditions, size reduction, good faith, minimum penalties, and history. The information below is not an official OSHA document, but is a summary of alleged violations and penalties collected from notices, safety orders, press releases, etc. issued directly by OSHA.

Knowing the current citation and penalty trends helps an employer better evaluate their current performance, understand conditions that OSHA considers to be hazardous and to recognize the penalty values that might be assessed.

Company Type:	FOUNDRY			INDIANA
Inspection Site:	State Road 66, Tell City, IN			
Issuance Date	OSHA Standard	OSHA Standard Violated	Standard Classification	Proposed Penalty
3/20/2020	29 CFR 1904.39 (a)(2)	Reporting Fatality, Injury and Illness	Non Serious	\$5,000
Violation:	The in-patient hospitalization, amputation, or loss of an eye was not reported to OSHA within twenty-four (24) hours after the in-patient hospitalization of one or more employees or an employee’s amputation or an employee’s loss of an eye as a result of a work-related incident:			
Site Specific Violation:	Facility – An in-patient hospitalization that resulted from a workplace injury on 11/11/2019, was not reported to IOSHA for approximately 45 hours.			

###

Company Type:	Tube Specialties Company			INDIANA
Inspection Site:	Engle Road, Fort Wayne, IN			
Issuance Date	OSHA Standard	OSHA Standard Violated	Standard Classification	Proposed Penalty
4/1/2020	29 CFR 1910.132(f)(3)	Personal Protective Equipment	Serious	\$5,000
Violation:	When the employer had reason to believe that an affected employee who had already been trained did not have the understanding and sill required by paragraph (f)(2) of this section, the employer did not retrain that employee:			



# OSHA Citations and Penalties Bulletin – No. 46

<b>Site Specific Violation:</b>	C130 Department – An employee was exposed to caught in hazards when gloves were worn on mill press ML-04.
---------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

###

Company Type:	MANUFACTURING FACILITY			ILLINOIS
Inspection Site:	Egyptian Trail, Arcola, IL			
Issuance Date	OSHA Standard	OSHA Standard Violated	Standard Classification	Proposed Penalty
3/13/2020	29 CFR 1910.147 (c)(7)(i)(A)	General Environmental Controls	Repeat	\$20,781
Violation:	Authorized employee(s) did not receive training in the recognition of applicable hazardous energy sources, the type and magnitude of the energy available in the workplace, and the methods and means necessary for energy isolation and control.			
Site Specific Violation:	On or about September 20, 2019, an employee was exposed to physical injury, while performing the set up operation of stringing line on Line 7 and the roll stand, and the employer did not provide the employee with authorized employee lockout training.			

###

Company Type:	CLEANING COMPANY			GEORGIA
Inspection Site:	North Perry Parkway, Perry, GA			
Issuance Date	OSHA Standard	OSHA Standard Violated	Standard Classification	Proposed Penalty
4/03/2020	29 CFR 1910.134 (g)(1)(i)(A)	Personal Protective Equipment	Serious	\$5,783
Violation:	Respirators with tight-fitting facepieces were worn by employees who had facial hair that came between the sealing surface of the facepiece and the face or that interfered with valve function:			
Site Specific Violation:	On or about February 12, 2020, in the residential bathroom located at Eastwood Village Apartments, 3005 Eastwood Village Drive, Unit 1420, Stockbridge, GA, an employee was provided and required to use a full face, negative pressure respirator when chemical washing a bathtub. The employee was wearing a full face, negative pressure respirator with a beard.			